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1.0 APPLICABILITY

This policy shall apply to all instructional faculty, research faculty, and other members of Valdosta State University’s community including, without limit, graduate student research assistants, graduate student teaching assistants, graduate student staff, undergraduate student employees in research or other scholarly activities, post-doctoral fellows and post-doctoral research associates, visiting faculty or staff, faculty or staff on sabbatical leave, adjunct faculty performing University work, and faculty or staff on leave without pay. This policy applies to students only when acting in the course of their employment with the University.

2.0 DEFINITIONS

2.1 Misconduct or Scholarly Misconduct: The fabrication or falsification of data, plagiarism, or other practice that seriously deviates from those that are commonly accepted within the academic or research community for proposing, conducting, or reporting research or scholarly activity. It does not include honest error or honest differences in interpretation or judgments of data.

2.2 Complainant: An individual filing a written complaint of scholarly misconduct.

2.3 Respondent: An individual who is the subject of an inquiry or investigation.

2.4 Inquiry: An information-gathering and initial fact-finding process to determine whether an allegation or apparent instance of misconduct warrants an investigation. An inquiry should be conducted with minimum publicity and maximum confidentiality.

2.5 Investigation: An informal examination and evaluation of all relevant facts to determine if an instance of misconduct has taken place, to evaluate its seriousness, and, if possible, to determine responsibility and the extent of any adverse effects resulting from the
misconduct.

3.0 REPORTING POSSIBLE MISCONDUCT

Upon receipt of a complaint, the Vice President of Academic Affairs (VPAA) shall inform the President of the allegation. In the event that the person making the allegation considers the VPAA to have a conflict of interest, the allegation may be reported directly to the President. The VPAA shall consult with the appropriate (including legal) advisors to determine if an inquiry is necessary. If the issue involved does not amount to misconduct, satisfactory resolution through means other than this policy should be sought and, to the extent possible, the identity of the complainant(s) shall remain confidential.

4.0 INTERIM ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION

The respondent will be notified of the complaint and provided with the allegation(s). Upon recommendation of the VPAA, the appropriate Dean (or Director) may meet with the respondent for the purpose of imposing temporary interim administrative actions prior to the completion of an inquiry or investigation, if necessary, to safeguard the integrity of the research or scholarly activity, prevent inappropriate use of sponsored funding, or otherwise protect the interests of a sponsor, the University, or the public. If temporary suspension of duties is imposed, such suspension shall be without loss of pay, pending the conclusion of the process described herein. The respondent will be given the reasons for any temporary interim administrative action and afforded the opportunity to have the dispute mediated through alternate dispute resolution. Refusal to accept mediation will not be construed as waiving the right to appeal the final decision.

The respondent may be accompanied by legal counsel to any meeting on this matter. Respondent's and University's legal counsel's roles are limited to advising the respondent and VPAA.

5.0 INQUIRIES

The purpose of an inquiry is to determine if an investigation is warranted. If it is determined that an informal inquiry is necessary, every reasonable effort shall be made to protect the identity of the individual(s) involved. (If the process reaches the investigative phase, however, the right of the respondent to confront the complainant requires the identity of the complainant to be revealed as allowed by law.) The VPAA shall direct the inquiry. The VPAA may, in his/her sole discretion, form an Inquiry Committee, the membership of which shall be determined by the VPAA. The Inquiry Committee's membership will be at least two-thirds members from the corps of full time faculty at Valdosta State University. The Inquiry Committee shall include one or more senior colleagues where specific technical expertise is required. The VPAA will take precautions against conflicts of interest. The VPAA may confer with appropriate legal advisor(s), as needed.

When an inquiry is initiated, the VPAA shall notify the respondent in writing and advise the respondent of the inquiry within 10 days. The VPAA, in consultation with appropriate (including legal) advisor(s), shall determine what additional notification is necessary including if and when
external funding agencies should be notified. Any such notification shall include a complete description of the evidence gathered to date and shall be provided by the VPAA.

The VPAA or the Inquiry Committee, as determined by the VPAA, shall separately meet with the respondent and complainant and shall review all necessary and reasonable documentation to determine if an investigation should be recommended. Refusal on the part of the respondent to cooperate shall be grounds for recommendation of an Investigation.

The inquiry shall be completed and a final written report of the findings shall be prepared within 30 working days of its initiation. The final report shall summarize the process followed and state the conclusion of the inquiry. If the inquiry cannot be completed within 30 working days, a report shall be made to the respondent and complainant citing progress to date, and may continue more than 90 calendar days after the VPAA has so notified the respondent the reasons for the delay.

If the inquiry does not produce substantial evidence of misconduct, the VPAA shall so inform the informant, the respondent, and the President. The VPAA shall also inform any other individual(s) involved in the inquiry to whom the identity of the respondent was disclosed.

If the inquiry results in substantial evidence of misconduct, the VPAA shall conduct an investigation as outlined herein. The complainant and respondent shall be notified that an investigation will follow. The VPAA shall reach his/her determination on a case-by-case basis, considering all relevant factors, including, but not limited to: 1) the accuracy and reliability of the source of the allegation of misconduct, 2) the seriousness of the alleged misconduct, 3) the scope of the alleged incident and the context in which it became known, and 4) other information obtained during the inquiry.

If an investigation is initiated, any outside sponsoring agency, which may be involved or have an interest in the alleged misconduct, shall be notified. The VPAA, in consultation with appropriate advisors, shall determine what such notification will include and to whom it will be directed.

6.0 INVESTIGATIONS

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether misconduct has been committed. Upon determining that an investigation is warranted, the VPAA shall appoint an ad hoc Investigation Committee (herein the "Investigative Committee"). The Investigative Committee's membership will be composed of at least two-thirds members from the corps of full time faculty at Valdosta State University. The Investigative Committee shall include one member who possesses specialized expertise in the same field as that of the respondent and may include one member from outside the University as deemed appropriate by the VPAA. Once formed, the Investigative Committee shall, in consultation with the VPAA, confirm the procedure to be followed in conducting the investigation. The complainant and respondent shall be fully informed of the procedure.

In undertaking this investigation, the Investigative Committee shall act promptly, ensure fairness to all, secure the necessary and appropriate expertise to carry out a thorough and authoritative evaluation of the relevant evidence, and take precautions against conflicts of interest. The investigation may consist of a combination of activities including, but not limited to: 1) review of
documents, 2) review of report from the inquiry, 3) interviews of parties and witnesses who may have been involved in or have knowledge about the case, and 4) review of any document or evidence provided or properly obtainable from the parties, witnesses, or other sources.

The Investigative Committee shall take no more than 120 calendar days to complete the investigation, prepare a report of its findings, including recommended actions(s), and submit the report to the VPAA. The Investigative Committee shall be responsible for examining all pertinent information, reviewing all records and taking statements or testimony as necessary. The Investigative Committee shall provide the respondent an opportunity to comment on the allegations and shall include his or her comments in its report. The VPAA shall, after consultation with appropriate advisor(s), notify any affected outside sponsor of any developments during the course of the investigation which disclose facts that may affect current or potential funding for the individual(s) under investigation or information that the sponsor needs to know to ensure appropriate use of funds or otherwise protect the public interest.

If misconduct is not confirmed, the University shall make diligent efforts to restore the reputation of the respondent by promptly providing all relevant parties with a factual report of the outcome and conclusion of the investigation. The VPAA may initiate appropriate action against the complainant if the Investigative Committee determines the charges were malicious or intentionally dishonest.

7.0 SANCTIONS

If misconduct is confirmed, the VPAA shall notify the President of the findings and shall impose appropriate sanctions against the respondent. Possible sanctions include, but are not limited to the following:

- Removal from any and all projects or activities,
- Letter of reprimand,
- Special monitoring of future work,
- Salary or rank reduction,
- Termination of employment.

8.0 APPEAL PROCESS

The appeal process is as follows:

1) Faculty may appeal to the Grievance Committee and should not appeal to the President.
2) Staff may appeal to a Board of Review, following the procedures in the Valdosta State University Personnel Policy and Procedures Manual.
3) Graduate students may appeal to an Ad Hoc Committee, as stated in the “Graduate School Procedures Governing Appeals by Graduate Students on Academic Matters.”
4) Undergraduate Students may appeal to the Student Judicial Council, as stated in Article IV, Section 3.1 of the Valdosta State University Student Handbook.

An appeal must be requested within 15 business days of the receipt of notification of sanctions. If
an appeal is not requested within 15 business days, the respondent shall be deemed to have waived his or her right to appeal or contest the sanctions.

The President will render an institutional decision on the matter after considering the report of the investigative committee, recommendations of the VPAA, the appropriate appeals committee, and any additional rebuttal by the respondent. The respondent and interested parties will be notified of the President’s decision, which is subject to appeal by the Board of Regents under Section IX of the Board of Regents Bylaws.